### **Caleb Greenwood School**

5457 Carlson Drive • Sacramento, CA 95819 • 916.277.6266 • Grades K-8
Amy Whitten , Principal
amy-whitten@scusd.k12.ca.us

**2011-12 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2012-13 School Year** 



## Sacramento City Unified School District

5735 47th Avenue Sacramento, CA 95824 (916) 643-7400 www.scusd.edu

# District Administration Jonathan P. Raymond Superintendent

#### About the SARC

Every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC), by February 1 of each year. The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. All data are reported for the 2011-12 school-year, unless otherwise indicated. For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC webpage at <a href="www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/">www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/</a>. For additional information about this school, please contact the school administration at 916.277.6266.

#### Vision:

Through the collaborative efforts of teachers, students, parents, and other staff, Caleb Greenwood provides a rigorous program of inquiry with a global perspective.

Students are actively engaged in a balanced curriculum that embraces critical thinking, open mindedness and reflection, preparing them for success in college and their chosen careers.

#### Mission:

To support this vision, we will

- Use a constructivist approach to inspire critical thinking, problem solving, and collaboration
- Successfully complete the requirements for becoming an IB Candidate School; each grade level will also plan and teach one common transdisciplinary unit that is aligned with Common Core ELA/Math Standards and IB Practices
- Develop productive, responsible, and caring citizens who embrace global diversity and multiple perspectives

#### **Opportunities for Parental Involvement**

Our high level of parent involvement is an integral part of our school community and positive school culture. Our classrooms have active parent volunteers present throughout the day, and our School Garden Project and school library program are run entirely by our parent and community volunteers. We have an active PTSO, Parent Teacher Student Organization, that meets coordinates many parent volunteer opportunities, plans community-building events, and provides a wide variety of enrichment programs for our students. Our Parent Resource Center located right next to the front office is a hub of activity where parents can receive important information, utilize resources, and network and plan together. We have an ELAC, English Learner Advisory Committee, specifically for our parents of English Learners. If you would like information about any of these opportunities for parent involvement, please contact Bethany Carter, our Office Manager, at 916-277-6266.

Student Enrollment by Grade Level				
Grade Level Number of Students				
Kinder.	90			
Gr. 1	58			
<b>Gr. 2</b> 58				
Gr. 3	66			
Gr. 4	67			
Gr. 5	65			
Gr. 6	66			
Gr. 7 46				
Gr. 8	35			
Total	551			

Student Enrollment by Group				
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment			
Black or African American	6			
American Indian or Alaska Native	2			
Asian	3.6			
Filipino	1.6			
Hispanic or Latino	22.5			
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	0.9			
White	57.7			
Two or More Races	5.6			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	36.8			
English Learners	5.8			
Students with Disabilities	18.5			

	Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution											
0	Average Class Size Number of Classrooms*											
AVE	erage C	lass Siz	ze .		1-20			21-32			33+	
Year	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12	10	11	12
Kinder.	25	24.7	17.8	0	0	2	3	3	3	0	0	0
Gr. 1	24	25	25.3	0	0	0	3	3	3	0	0	0
Gr. 2	25	25	28.5	0	0	0	3	2	2	0	0	0
Gr. 3	25	24.7	25	0	0	0	2	3	2	0	0	0
Gr. 4	32	28.5	33.5	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
Gr. 5	33	32.5	32.5	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	1	1
Gr. 6	28	33	33	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	2
Other	21			1			3			0		
English		24.7	20.3		1	2		2	2		0	0
Math		26	23.3		3	1		0	3		2	0
Science		0	23.3		0	1		0	3		0	0
SS		24.7	25.5		1	2		2	1		0	1

Number of classes indicates how many classrooms fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom). At the secondary school level, this information is reported by subject area, English, Math, Science and Social Science (SS), rather than grade level.

Suspensions and Expulsions					
School 09-10 10-11 11-12					
Suspensions Rate	4.9	4.6	4.5		
Expulsions Rate	0	0	0		
District	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Suspensions Rate	18.1	17.1	16.2		
Expulsions Rate	0	0.03	0.03		

The rate of suspensions and expulsions is calculated by dividing the total number of incidents by the total enrollment (and multiplying by 100).

#### **School Safety Plan**

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan, including the dates on which the safety plan was last reviewed, updated, and discussed with faculty; as well as a brief description of the key elements of the plan.

The Comprehensive Safe School Plan (CSSP) was updated in February 2011 and shared with staff in December 2011. The CSSP includes assessing the current status of school crime committed on the school campus and at school-related functions. It identifies appropriate strategies and programs that provide or maintain a high level of school safety and address the school's procedures for complying with existing laws related to school safety, which include the development of the following:

- Child abuse reporting procedures
- Disaster procedures, routine and emergency
- Fire drills
- Earthquake emergency procedure system
- Campus intruder "lock down"
- Flood, evacuation of the site
- Parent/student reunification
- Policies on suspension, expulsion, or mandatory expulsion recommendations
- Procedures to notify teachers of dangerous pupils
- Discrimination and harassment policy
- School-wide dress code
- Procedures for safe ingress and egress of pupils, parents, and school employees to and from school
- Safe and orderly environment conducive to learning
- Rules and procedures on school discipline
- Hate crime reporting procedures

#### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Description of the safety, cleanliness, and adequacy of the school facility
- Description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements
- The year and month in which the data were collected
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair

#### Year and month in which data were collected: July 2011

The main campus was built in 1950. This school has 13 permanent classrooms which include a multipurpose room, a library, and an administrative building. The school also has 16 portables. 3 portables classrooms were constructed in 1998 for class size reduction. During the 2000 modernization, renovations and upgrades were made in the following areas: health and safety, site interior, HVAC, and miscellaneous upgrades.

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority. The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all the schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the school office, or at the district operations office. The principal works directly with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

The State Legislature established the Deferred Maintenance Fund (DMF) in 1980 to assist districts in maintaining facilities and sites. The District has participated in the Deferred Maintenance Program since its inception. The program requires both the local district and the State of California to share equally in the cost of major deferred maintenance projects, with the maximum contribution from the State limited to approximately one-half of one percent of the District's General Fund and Adult Education Fund operating budgets. For fiscal years 2008-09 through 2012-13, the Deferred Maintenance Program has been included in the State's Tier III Flexibility Program. Therefore, DMF funds received by the District are deposited in the General Fund, and are unrestricted. Due to state budget cuts for 2010-11 these unrestricted funds have not been designated to deferred maintenance purposes. The funds were used as part of the Tier III recommendations approved by the Board on the May 6, 2010 Board Meeting.

#### School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2012-13)

This section provides information from the most recent Facility Inspection Tool (FIT) data (or equivalent), including:

- Determination of repair status for systems listed
- Description of any needed maintenance to ensure good repair
- The Overall Rating (bottom row)

School Facility Good Repair Status					
Contain Inspected		Repair	Status	Repair Needed and	
System Inspected	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	Action Taken or Planned
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Interior: Interior Surfaces	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Electrical: Electrical	[ ]	[X]	[]	[]	
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	[ ]	[X]	[]	[]	
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	[]	[X]	[]	[]	
Overall Rating	[]	[X]	[]	[]	All items needing correction have had a work order submitted

Teacher Credentials					
School	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Fully Credentialed	28	26	24		
Without Full Credential	0	0	0		
Teaching Outside Subject Area	0	0	0		
Districtwide	09-10	10-11	11-12		
Fully Credentialed	•	•	1920		
Without Full Credential	•	+	0		

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School					
Teachers of English Learners 0 0					
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	0		
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	1	0		

<sup>&</sup>quot;Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc.

#### **Professional Development**

This section provides information on the number of days provided for professional development and continuous professional growth in the most recent three year period.

To realize the vision of Sacramento City Unified School District, which is to fully prepare all students for college and career, it is imperative that the district provides numerous opportunities to expand the professional repertoire of its administrators and teachers.

To that end, the district has crafted a high quality professional program that is focused on ELA Common Core State Standards, Math Common Core State Standards, English Language Learners, Balanced Literacy and Instructional Strategies for Addressing the Needs of Diverse Learners. These areas of focus were derived from needs assessments coupled with the analysis of student learning of specific content and that is ongoing with follow-up opportunities, models effective practices, and uses assessments to guide and monitor progress. The learning opportunities afforded through this program deeply align with the needs of our individual schools, as well as our strategic plan, and connect to every aspect of school practice: thinking curriculum, intentional instructional practices, assessment for learning, and lesson design.

These learning experiences, which are offered during the school day and after-school are supported with on-site coaching, are in addition to the required 18-hours of professional development designated for teachers to engage in collaborative professional learning at their respective school sites. Such communities of practices, under the leadership and guidance of site administrators, afford our teachers the opportunity to deepen their content knowledge, strengthen their instructional repertoire, improve their assessment strategies, and foster a shared sense of responsibility. As a result, every teacher will help to promote and nurture a community of learners in which the individual school staff is not only enriched, but the district as a whole is enhanced.

#### **Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers**

The Federal Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), also known as No Child Left Behind (NCLB), requires that core academic subjects be taught by Highly Qualified Teachers, defined as having at least a bachelor's degree, an appropriate California teaching credential, and demonstrated core academic subject area competence. For more information, see the CDE *Improving Teacher and Principal Quality* webpage at: www.cde.ca.gov/nclb/sr/tq/

Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers						
Location of Classes  Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers  Qualified Teachers						
This School	100	0				
	Districtwide					
All Schools	87.15	12.85				
High-Poverty Schools	13.59					
Low-Poverty Schools	100	0				

<sup>\*</sup> High-poverty schools are defined as those schools with student eligibility of approximately 40 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low-poverty schools are those with student eligibility of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program.

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School				
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)				
Academic Counselor	0.0			
Social/Behavioral or Career Development Counselor	0.0			
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.0			
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	0.0			
Psychologist	0.0			
Social Worker	0.0			
Nurse	0.0			
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.3			
Resource Specialist	0.0			
Other 0.0				
Average Number of Students per Staff Member				
Academic Counselor 0.0				

One Full-Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full-time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full-time.

Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)					
	Ехр	enditures Per l	Pupil	Average	
Level	Level Total Restricted Unrestricted				
School Site	\$6,173	\$1,225	\$4,948	\$73,597	
District	•	•	\$4,683	\$63,836	
State   ♦   •			\$5,455	\$69,404	
Percent Diffe	erence: School S	5.7%	15.3%		
Percent Diffe	erence: School S	Site/ State	-9.3%	6.0%	

*	Supplemental/Restricted	expenditures come from money whose use is
	controlled by law or by	a donor. Money that is designated for specific
	purposes by the district or	governing board is not considered restricted.

Basic/Unrestricted expenditures are from money whose use, except for general guidelines, is not controlled by law or by a donor.

For detailed information on school expenditures for all districts in California, see the CDE Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/ec/. For information on teacher salaries for all districts in California, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/. To look up expenditures and salaries for a specific school district, see the Ed-Data Web site at: www.ed-data.org.

Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2010-11)					
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category			
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$40,184	\$41,455			
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$52,812	\$66,043			
Highest Teacher Salary	\$86,673	\$85,397			
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$99,223	\$106,714			
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$101,385	\$111,101			
Average Principal Salary (HS)	\$116,819	\$121,754			
Superintendent Salary	\$241,628	\$223,357			
Percent of District Budget					
Teacher Salaries	35%	39%			
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%			

For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

#### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2011-12)

This section provides specific information about the types of programs and services available at the school that support and assists students. For example, this narrative may include information about supplemental educational services related to the school's federal Program Improvement (PI) status.

Each district school receives categorical funding to provide supplemental services to assist students reach grade level proficiency and above, master grade level content standards and successfully graduate from high school. Services throughout the district include:

- Class Size Reduction twice a week in grades 4- 6, funded by PTSO
- Supplemental instructional materials and books in mathematics, reading/language arts and ELD
- Access to technology
- Parent Education/Family Nights
- Student/Family Primary Language Support
- Translation for Home-School Communication
- Counseling (academic and social skills)
- Gifted and Talented Education (GATE)
- Special Education
- Professional Development
- Support Staff, such as nurses, instructional assistants, parent advisors, and counselors
- Supplemental Education Services

Please check with your child's principal to receive information regarding the services that are specific to your child's school.

#### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2012-13)

This section describes whether the textbooks and instructional materials used at the school are from the most recent adoption; whether there are sufficient textbooks and instruction materials for each student; and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

#### Year and month in which data were collected: November 2012

Textbooks and instructional materials are reviewed and then piloted across the district. Subsequent adoption by the Board of Education is consistent with the cycle of textbook approval by the State, California School Board. Textbooks are chosen for their alignment with California Content Standards, California Frameworks, and appropriateness for Sacramento City Unified School District students. Textbook sufficiency data is collected annually.

Elementary School		
Title	Subject	Date of Publication
Open Court Reading, SRA	Reading/Language Arts	2002
Moving Into English, Harcourt	English Language Development	2004
High Point, Hampton Brown	English Language Arts	2002
Avenues, Hampton Brown	English Language Development	2002
English at Your Command, National Geography	English Language Development	1996
California Mathematics, Macmillan McGraw-Hill	Mathematics	2009
California Science, McMillian McGraw-Hill	Science	2008
California Vistas, McMillian McGraw-Hill	History/Social Science	2007

Middle School					
Title	Subject	Date of Publication			
REACH!	Reading Development	2002			
LANGUAGE! Sopris West	Reading Development	2005			
High Point, Hampton Brown	Reading Development	2001			
Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 1 Holt, Rinehart, Winston	Language Arts	2003			
Holt Literature and Language Arts, Course 2 Holt, Rinehart, Winston	Language Arts	2003			
High Point, Hampton Brown	English Language Development	2001			
Inside, National Geography	English Language Development	2009			
Prentice Hall Mathematics, California Pre-Algebra, Pearson Prentice Hall	Mathematics	2009			
Prentice Hall Mathematics, California Algebra, Pearson Prentice Hall	Mathematics	2009			
Geometry, McDougal Littell	Mathematics	2004			
Life Science, Holt, Rinehart and Winston	Science	2007			
Focus on Physical Science, Glencoe/McGraw-Hill	Science	2007			
History Alive! Medieval World and Beyond, Teachers' Curriculum Institute (TCI)	History	2005			
History Alive! The United States Through Industrialism, Teachers' Curriculum Institute (TCI)	History	2005			

<u>Note</u>: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including:

- California Standards Tests (CSTs), which include Englishlanguage arts (ELA) and mathematics (Math) in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science (H-SS) in grades eight, and nine through eleven.
- California Modified Assessment (CMA), an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards in ELA for grades three through eleven; mathematics for grades three through seven, Algebra I, and Geometry; and science in grades five and eight, and Life Science in grade ten. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations.
- California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA), includes ELA and mathematics in grades two through eleven, and science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations.

The assessments under the STAR Program show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. On each of these assessments, student scores are reported as performance levels.

For detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the Percent of Students not tested, see the CDE STAR Results Web site at star.cde.ca.gov.

STAR Results for All Students - Three-Year Comparison									
	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced								
Subject	School District State			State					
	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12	09-10	10-11	11-12
ELA	63	61	61	48	48	50	52	54	56
Math	61	59	57	46	48	48	48	50	51
Science	63	64	59	46	49	52	54	57	60
H-SS	61	45	35	41	44	44	44	48	49

#### **Internet Access**

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible. Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

#### **EdData Partnership Web Site**

EdData is a partnership of the CDE, EdSource, and the Fiscal Crisis Management and Assistance Team (FCMAT) that provides extensive financial, demographic, and performance information about California's public kindergarten through grade twelve school districts and schools.

2012 STAR Results by Student Group					
Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced				
	ELA	Math	Science	H-SS	
All Students in the LEA	50	48	52	44	
All Student at the School	61	57	59	35	
Male	55	54	55	35	
Female	67	60	62	36	
Black or African American	46	29			
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian	57	50			
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	44	47	43		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	71	65	69	59	
Two or More Races	59	59			
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	48	39	47	27	
English Learners	24	29			
Students with Disabilities	35	28			
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services					

#### **California Physical Fitness Test Results**

The California Physical Fitness Test (PFT) is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the Percent of Students meeting the fitness standards for the most recent testing period. For detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state, see the CDE PFT webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/tg/pf/.

Grade	Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards				
Level	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6		
5	29.2	26.2	24.6		
7	18.2	22.7	34.1		

#### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest webpage at dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/ that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., state Academic Performance Index [API], federal Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners.

#### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of state academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. For detailed information about the API, see the CDE API webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ap/.

API Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison					
Cucin	Actual API Change				
Group	09-10	10-11	11-12		
All Students at the School	-7	-14	-1		
Black or African American					
American Indian or Alaska Native					
Asian					
Filipino					
Hispanic or Latino	5	9	-2		
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander					
White	-9	-12	-1		
Two or More Races					
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	8	-28	17		
English Learners					
Students with Disabilities	-9	-20	21		

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools' API ranks. The **statewide API rank** ranges from 1 to 10. A statewide rank of 1 means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of 10 means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The **similar schools API rank** reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of 1 means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of 10 means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

Academic Performance Index Ranks - Three-Year Comparison								
API Rank	2009 2010 2011							
Statewide	8	7	6					
Similar Schools	imilar Schools 8 3 2							

#### Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2012-13)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. For detailed information about PI identification, see the CDE PI Status Determinations webpage: www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/tidetermine.asp.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status		In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		2008-2009
Year in Program Improvement		Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Ir	58	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program In	nprovement	66.7

#### API Growth by Student Group - 2012 Growth API Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the number of students included in the API and the 2012 Growth API (API-G) at the school, district, and state level

level.				
Group		School	District	State
All Students	Students	378	31,393	4,664,264
at the School	API-G	815	768	788
Black or	Students	25	4,946	313,201
African American	API-G	723	690	710
American Indian or	Students	8	258	31,606
Alaska Native	API-G		712	742
Asian	Students	11	5,980	404,670
	API-G	831	815	905
Filipino	Students	3	368	124,824
	API-G		850	869
Hispanic	Students	85	11,507	2,425,230
or Latino	API-G	747	730	740
Native Hawaiian/	Students	3	534	26,563
Pacific Islander	API-G		724	775
White	Students	219	6,171	1,221,860
	API-G	852	840	853
Two	Students	24	1,597	88,428
or More Races	API-G	841	820	849
Socioeconomically	Students	145	23,735	2,779,680
Disadvantaged	API-G	745	738	737
English Learners	Students	19	11,147	1,530,297
	API-G	709	739	716
Students	Students	79	3,983	530,935
with Disabilities	API-G	651	588	607

#### **Adequate Yearly Progress**

The federal ESEA requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- API as an additional indicator
- Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, can be found at the CDE Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/ay/.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Made AYP Overall	No	No
Met Participation Rate: English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Met Participation Rate: Mathematics	Yes	Yes
Met Percent Proficient: English-Language Arts	No	No
Met Percent Proficient: Mathematics	No	No
Met API Criteria	Yes	Yes
Met Graduation Rate (if applicable)	N/A	No